Taking a new approach to reduce the incidence of physician disruptive behaviors
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Abstract

Disruptive behaviors continue to play a disturbing role in today’s healthcare environment, negatively affecting care relationships that can adversely impact outcomes of patient care. Many organizations have implemented a number of different strategies in an effort to address this important issue with varying degrees of success. New complexities and changing roles, responsibilities and accountabilities for the delivery of appropriate, high-value, high-quality, safe, satisfying care have added increasing pressures on healthcare organizations to better integrate and coordinate healthcare delivery across the entire spectrum of care. Physicians play a crucial role in this process. When disruptive behaviors occur, rather than taking the traditional more remedial punitive approach to behavioral management, organizations would do better to try to focus on strategies that address physician and staff needs and provide appropriate supportive services to help them better adjust to stress and pressures of today’s healthcare environment. Increasing levels of stress and burnout are taking their toll on physician attitudes and behaviors resulting in increasing levels of disillusionment, dissatisfaction and frustration affecting physician well-being and performance. Physicians often won’t act on their own and we need to look to the organizations they are affiliated with to take the initiative by providing appropriate administrative, clinical and emotional support services before the occurrence of an unwanted event. Allowing physicians’ input, listening to their concerns and providing needed support will enhance physician satisfaction, engagement, compliant attitudes and behaviors that lead to less disruption and better patient care.
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Background

We first reported on the incidence and impact of disruptive behaviors in 2002 describing the nature of disruptive physician behaviors, who was doing it and the impact it had on nurses’ morale, satisfaction and turnover [1,2]. Follow-up studies extended the scope of work to address disruptive behaviors in nursing and other disciplines and its impact on psychological factors that negatively affect job performance and patient outcomes of care [3]. Our publication in the Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety was timed with the release of Sentinel Event #40 alerting organizations to the concerns about the linkage between disruptive behaviors and compromises in patient safety which lead to the adoption of a new accreditation standard requiring hospitals to have a disruptive behavior policy in place and provide support for its intent [4,5]. Since then there have been numerous other studies documenting the negative impact of disruptive behaviors on patient care. While disruptive behaviors can be seen across all specialties and service lines, they are most evident in high stress areas such as Surgery, the Emergency Department and Obstetrical arena. [6-8]. Despite growing awareness and organizational responsiveness, several recent studies have shown that disruptive events continue to occur with alarming frequency [9-11]. We need to take another look at what’s precipitating these behaviors and see what we can do to minimize its occurrence and consequences.

Perspective

No one plans to start out the day being disruptive. Disruptive events are usually triggered by a combination of internal and external factors influencing individual values, attitudes, perceptions and mood, which ultimately affect their actions and behaviors. A listing of these contributing factors is presented in Table 1.

From an internal perspective, many behavioral tendencies are related to more deeply ingrained factors associated with age and generational values, gender-based traits and preferences, ethnic, cultural or spiritual values (particularly
For personal use only.

Table 1. Factors affecting physician behavior.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Internal</th>
<th>External</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (generation)</td>
<td>Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Debt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture &amp; ethnicity</td>
<td>Work environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geographics/life experiences</td>
<td>Home environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personality profile</td>
<td>Reality &amp; expectations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

with regard to hierarchy, power structure dominance and communication styles) and other life experiences that help shape one’s personality. While deep-seated preferences and biases may be more difficult to change, education and training courses on emotional intelligence, diversity management, cultural competency, generational differences, personality traits and conflict management may help address these issues in a constructive fashion.

Contributing external factors include training experiences, debt and financial obligations and environmental factors influencing the workplace and home environment. These factors have a more obvious impact on day-to-day realities affecting expectations, attitudes, moods and behaviors. For physicians many of these influences begin during the training process. Many studies equate medical school training to a fraternity hazing process where individuals start out with low self-esteem, try to prove themselves through long hours of independent study that breed isolation, autonomy and insensitivity and lead to demanding dictatorial types of behaviors. Skill sets are built on knowledge and technical competency with little attention spent on development of personal skill traits focused on improving communication and collaboration efficiency. Recent studies have also highlighted the significant amounts of stress and burnout experienced by medical students which also contribute to negative attitudes and behaviors in students [12]. All of these occurrences may increase the predilection for disruptive behaviors. Fortunately, there is now a growing effort to redesign the medical school admission and training process by selecting the “right students”, revising the core curriculum by adding courses and rotations that focus on the importance of team collaboration and social sensitivity, and making efforts to address the underlying stress and burnout experienced throughout training [13,14].

One of the key environmental factors affecting workplace dynamics is Health Care Reform. Value Based Care and other new healthcare initiatives have introduced a number of new challenges to healthcare delivery resulting in increasing levels of stress, dissatisfaction and burnout in the physician population [15-17]. With a greater emphasis on metric-based performance accountability, changing incentives, priorities and models of care, the push toward electronic documentation and reporting compliance and the growing focus on economics and productivity, these forces are affecting both the physical and emotional well-being of practicing physicians [18-20]. Dissatisfaction can lead to cynicism and apathy which can lead to depression and other behavioral disturbances that can disrupt work relationships that negatively impact patient care. Organizations need to recognize the impact this is having on physician attitudes and, emotions, and their willingness and capability to provide care. With a growing concern about physician well-being, many organizations are promoting the extension the Triple Aim concept (enhancing the patient experience, population management and cost-efficient care) to a Quadruple Aim focus to emphasize the vital link between physician wellness, physician satisfaction and optimal organizational performance [21,22]. Not only do we need to more effectively deal with disruptive behaviors, we need to do what we can to help our physicians thrive in today’s healthcare environment.

Call to action

It is common practice for outside industries to have policies and procedures in place to deal with the toxic effects of workplace bullying and intimidation in an effort to support a positive workplace environment. In healthcare it hasn’t quite been as forthcoming. Factors contributing to this reluctance include either a lack of overall awareness, permissive tolerance, concerns about supply and demand or resistance to respond due to problems with organizational hierarchy or the fear of antagonizing a physician who may choose to bring his or her business elsewhere. The original call to action was stimulated by the new Joint Commission requirement in conjunction with growing recognition of the negative clinical and economic impact that disruptive behaviors had on staff satisfaction and turnover, care efficiency, compliance with organizational standards and priorities, patient complaints and compromises in patient safety and quality of care [23,24].

The new call to action focuses on the importance of committed physician leadership and team partnerships needed to manage service delivery across the full spectrum of care. With the growing emphasis on accountability for metric-based performance outcomes providers are facing significant financial penalties for poor compliance with core quality indicators, poor patient satisfaction (HCAHPS), high readmission rates and higher than expected mortality. As more of the financial risk for healthcare management falls on the shoulders of providers, the greater the need to develop a network of best practice providers who are willing to collaborate as part of a well-coordinated multidisciplinary team organized to provide best practice care [25,26].

Recommendations

There is no easy solution to the problem of dealing with disruptive behaviors. Successful outcomes will depend upon a strong organizational commitment to a professional code of conduct supported by applicable training and intervention services that enhance attitudes and behaviors that lead to best patient care. Table 2 provides an overview of strategies that support this goal.

As mentioned previously, many of these issues start during the training environment. One of the first areas to focus on is the “qualifications” of the medical school applicant. There is a growing push to attract students who have focused on areas outside the traditional math and sciences looking for more well-rounded students who have also concentrated studies in the area of social sciences. The new Medical College
The next issue is finding the right applicant for the job. Many organizations have made a conscious effort to screen candidates as to their “cultural fit” to assess whether or not the applicant’s job expectations fit in well with the organization’s mission and way of doing business [28]. After hiring, many organizations then provide a comprehensive “on-boarding” process to welcome the physician, discuss organizational needs and priorities and offer support and assistance to help the physician adapt to the new environment.

One of the key underpinnings is the underlying organizational culture. Having an organization committed to a culture focused on patient-centric care and its willingness to support its staff members in providing this care is crucial to set the tone of a positive work environment where members can thrive [29-31].

Next is the role of training and education. This takes several forms. First is general education to familiarize physicians as well as other members of the workforce about the changes in the healthcare environment and how this may impact their roles and responsibilities. When physicians begin to recognize the impact of external issues on their practice and the benefits of combining efforts with mutually agreed upon organizational and individual goals and incentives, they will be more receptive to making the needed changes.

Providing a deeper level of training to include workshops on such topics as emotional intelligence, customer satisfaction, diversity management, cultural competency, conflict management and improving communication and team collaboration skills will provide context and content to help physicians better understand how their behaviors can impact work relationships and patient care. Improved communication and collaboration skills are key to improving relationships. Unfortunately, many physicians are not the best communicators [32]. Organizations that have devoted the time and resources to these projects have dramatically improved both patient and staff relationships and clinical outcomes of care demonstrating a significant overall return on investment [33,34].

Another key area is for the organization to gain a better understanding of the pressures physicians are facing in clinical practice. Issues around lingering debt, reduced revenues, greater external scrutiny and performance accountability and changing contractual arrangements with newly evolving models of care have added a significant degree of stress, dissatisfaction, anxiety, depression and burnout that has affected physician attitudes and behaviors. A recent survey conducted by Cejka Search and VITAL WorkLife reported that more than 88% percentage of physicians reported significant degrees of stress and burnout, and what was even more alarming was the reluctance by the physicians or their organization to do anything about it [35,36]. Physicians either don’t recognize they’re under stress, or if they do, feel like they can handle it themselves. Even if they want outside help, ego, concerns about competency and fears about confidentiality get in the way. They put it aside and just continue with their demanding schedule. The new approach is for the organizations they work for to recognize the problem and proactively supply the needed administrative, clinical and emotional support. Do what is necessary to promote the physician’s state of well-being [37]. Offering coaching and counseling either internally through Human Resources, Physician Wellness Committees, a Physician EAP (Employee Assistance Program) or bringing in outside resources to work with physicians to help them adjust to their environment will provide great benefit in lowering stress and improving physician satisfaction. Early intervention is the best strategy for reducing the likelihood of a disruptive event [38].

Another approach focuses on improving overall physician engagement [39,40]. Instead of just telling the physician what you want them to do, make and take time to listen to what their needs and concerns are and be prepared to help provide support. Allowing physicians input through discussion groups, Town Hall meetings, Department meetings, task forces, subcommittees or through one-on-one meetings will go a long way in helping to understand what is needed and what all members of the organization need to do to work together to achieve mutual goals.

Even with all the above strategies in place there will still be episodes of disruptive behavior. In order to address such behaviors, the organization needs to have a disruptive behavior in place outlining what constitutes disruptive behavior and what are the processes and ramifications for those who are non-compliant. There needs to be a standard reporting policy where all complaints are assessed and evaluated in a consistent, equitable, non-biased manner, with recommendations made for appropriate follow-up. Interventions need to be conducted by individuals trained in dispute resolution and conflict management, and not simply turned over to the Chief of the Department.

Interventions can take several forms. The simplest form is the informal “coffee time” conversation geared to raise levels of awareness. Many physicians exhibiting disruptive behaviors don’t know they’re doing it and are unaware of any unwanted downstream effect. Raising their level of awareness and suggesting alternative ways of handling the situation often provides the necessary cure. More chronic and serious events will require behavioral correction. This phase requires a more authoritative approach holding the physician accountable for their actions and making specific recommendations for behavioral correction. This may include training in anger, stress or conflict management. Individual counseling may
also be recommended. More severe cases may require a more concentrated focus on behavioral correction offered by a variety of state or national resources. In some cases, the only recourse may be either suspension or termination of privileges.

The final phase is recognition and thank you for a job well done. We need to look at physicians as an over-extended precious resource. Showing them respect, establishing trust, recognizing and thanking them for all they do will go a long way in improving their attitudes, outlook, satisfaction and behaviors.

Conclusion

Physicians pride themselves on the virtues of providing best patient care. Behavioral issues arise from multiple inciting forces which may lead to disturbances in care relationships that negatively impact care management. Many of these influences come from deep-seated values, attitudes and perceptions, and others come from more externally influenced life experiences, the consequences of which may impair both the physician and the organization with which they are involved (Table 3). Understanding physician behaviors, taking time to listen to their needs and concerns, providing appropriate training, counseling and support and soliciting their input and involvement will go a long way in improving physician acceptance, understanding, involvement and engagement, which will lead to more compliant behaviors that affect their disposition, compliance, staff relationships and patient outcomes of care.

Declaration of interest

The author has no relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript. This includes employment, consultancies, honoraria, stock ownership or options, expert testimony, grants or patents received or pending or royalties.

References


Table 3. Consequences of high stress and burnout.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physicists:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decreased job satisfaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feelings of irritability, moodiness, cynicism, apathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep disturbances, fatigue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative impact on physical health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative impact on emotional health (anxiety, depressions, behavioral disorders)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance liability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient safety issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient satisfaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Career issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizations:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture, relationships, morale, staff satisfaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased turnover, recruitment and retention challenges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor care coordination/productivity/efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disruptive behaviors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient safety and quality issues related to poor responsiveness, ineffective communication, judgment errors causing adverse events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient satisfaction/reputation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penalty/liability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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